arXiv:0901.1002v3 [math.DS] 11 Mar 2010

APPROXIMATION OF VOLUME-PRESERVING HOMEOMORPHISMS BY VOLUME-PRESERVING DIFFEOMORPHISMS

STEFAN MÜLLER

Abstract. Given a volume-preserving homeomorphism of a smooth manifold of dimension $n \geq 5$, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for uniform approximability by (volume-preserving) diffeomorphisms.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Approximation of volume-preserving homeomorphisms 3
3. The inclusion Diff $\hookrightarrow$ Homeo 5
4. Diffeomorphisms homotopic to the identity 5
5. The noncompact and nonorientable cases 6
References 7

1. Introduction

A basic problem in differential topology is to determine if a given homeomorphism of a smooth manifold can be approximated uniformly by diffeomorphisms. This question dates back at least as far as [Ale32]. A complete solution has been given by J. R. Munkres [Mun59, Mun60, Mun65] and M. W. Hirsch [Hir63] in the form of an obstruction theory. In particular, such an approximation is always possible in dimension $n \leq 3$ [Mun60], but not in general, for example not necessarily in dimension 4 [Don83].[1] One can then ask whether a homeomorphism which preserves volume can be uniformly approximated by diffeomorphisms (this problem is mentioned for example in [OU41, Oh06]), and if so, can it also be approximated by volume-preserving diffeomorphisms. The second part of this question has recently been answered in the affirmative, independently by Y.-G. Oh [Oh06] and J.-C. Sikorav [Sik07]: if a volume-preserving homeomorphism can be approximated uniformly by diffeomorphisms, one can in addition impose

Key words and phrases. Uniform approximation, volume-preserving, homeomorphism, diffeomorphism, homotopic/smoothly isotopic to identity, weak homotopy equivalence.

[1] In contrast, continuous *maps* can be approximated uniformly by smooth maps [Hir76].

the condition that each diffeomorphism preserves volume. This is of particular interest in dimension 2 in the context of C^0-symplectic topology [Oh06, OM07, Mül08a, Mül08b], and has been the starting point of the author's interest in this question. In this short note, we give an answer to the first part of the above mentioned question, for dimensions $n \geq 5$. Interesting variants of this problem have also been studied, e.g. approximation by almost everywhere diffeomorphisms [OU41][2] or in measure (in connection with Lusin's theorem) [Whi69, Whi74].

Let M be a compact and oriented smooth manifold with or without boundary, of dimension $n \geq 5$, and with a volume form Ω, compatible with the orientation of M. Without loss of generality assume M is connected, and the total volume of M is normalized to equal 1. One obtains a 'good' measure on M (in the terminology of [Fat80]) by integrating Ω. We assume throughout that all homeomorphisms of M are compactly supported in the interior of M. Denote by $\mathrm{Homeo}_c(M)$ and $\mathrm{Homeo}_c^{\mathrm{vol}}(M)$ the groups of all homeomorphisms and volume-preserving homeomorphisms of M, and by $\mathrm{Diff}_c(M)$ and $\mathrm{Diff}_c^{\mathrm{vol}}(M)$ the subgroups of all diffeomorphisms and volume-preserving diffeomorphisms of M respectively. If M is closed, equip all groups of homeomorphisms with the uniform or compact-open topology, and all groups of diffeomorphisms with the C^∞-topology, unless explicitly stated otherwise. The group $\mathrm{Homeo}_c(M)$ is metrizable by the metrics of uniform convergence or C^0-convergence (uniform convergence of maps *and* their inverses). Only the latter is complete. However, if a sequence of homeomorphisms converges uniformly to another homeomorphism (in other words, if the limit exists), then it also converges in the C^0-metric. Thus it suffices to consider uniform convergence in this paper, keeping in mind that all results stated are equally valid for the C^0-metric. If M has nonempty boundary, the above groups are taken with the usual direct-limit topology.

Recall that any C^1-diffeomorphism of M can be approximated uniformly by C^∞-diffeomorphisms (e.g. [Hir76, Theorem 2.7]). Thus in the following we do not bother about the smoothness of diffeomorphisms, and assume all diffeomorphisms in this paper are C^∞. The main objective of this note is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let M be a compact smooth manifold with or without boundary, of dimension $n \geq 5$, and with a volume form Ω as above. A volume-preserving homeomorphism $h \in \mathrm{Homeo}_c^{\mathrm{vol}}(M)$ can be uniformly approximated by (volume-preserving) diffeomorphisms if and only if it is homotopic to a diffeomorphism.*

[2] In [OU41, Theorem 12], the authors claim that a volume-preserving homeomorphism T of the unit cube can be approximated uniformly by a homeomorphism that is locally linear almost everywhere. Unfortunately, their proof contains a mistake. Although the constructed sequence is uniformly Cauchy, the limit S does not exist (the sequence of inverses is not uniformly Cauchy) unless T is the identity map.

If a volume-preserving homeomorphism can be approximated uniformly by diffeomorphisms, it can also be approximated uniformly by volume-preserving diffeomorphisms [Oh06, Sik07]. It is therefore sufficient to prove our result for diffeomorphisms that are not necessarily volume-preserving.

The statement of the theorem can also be expressed as follows: h can be approximated uniformly by diffeomorphisms if and only if its equivalence class $[h]$ lies in the image of the map

$$\pi_0(\mathrm{Diff}_c(M)) \longrightarrow \pi_0(\mathrm{Homeo}_c(M)) \tag{1}$$

induced by the inclusion $\mathrm{Diff}_c(M) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Homeo}_c(M)$. Here π_0 denotes the space of connected components, which in the cases at hand coincides with the space of path components, since $\mathrm{Diff}_c(M)$ and $\mathrm{Homeo}_c(M)$ are known to be locally contractible. The map (1) will be discussed in section 3.

We also remark that by Theorem 1, the subgroup of volume-preserving homeomorphisms that can be approximated uniformly by diffeomorphisms is a (nonempty) disjoint union of some (possibly all) of the components of $\mathrm{Homeo}_c^{\mathrm{vol}}(M)$.

If $n \leq 3$, the uniform approximation in our sense is always possible [Mun60, Oh06, Sik07]. Thus (not too surprisingly, see section 4 for additional related remarks), the case $n = 4$ is the only one that remains unsettled.

2. Approximation of volume-preserving homeomorphisms

We begin with a local version of Theorem 1 due to E. H. Connell [Con63a, Con63b], R. H. Bing [Bin63], and Munkres [Mun60]. Let $B^n_r \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be the open ball of radius r in $\mathbb{R}^n$ (centered at the origin), and $B^n = B^n_1$.

Proposition 2 (Connell, Bing, Munkres)**.** *If $h\colon B^n \to B^n$, $n \geq 5$, is a homeomorphism that equals the identity near the boundary, then it can be approximated uniformly by a diffeomorphism $g\colon B^n \to B^n$ that equals the identity near the boundary.*

Proof. This is essentially Theorem 6 in [Con63a] (for $n \geq 7$; refer to the first remark of section 5 of that paper, and [Bin63] or [Rus73, Chapter 4.11] for $n \geq 5$),[3] by extending h to all of $\mathbb{R}^n$ by the identity outside the ball B^n. The extended homeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is clearly stable. The approximating diffeomorphism g in the conclusion of the theorem can be chosen to equal the identity outside $B^n_{1-\delta}$, for some $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small. The only subtlety not stated explicitly there is that g extends to a diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^n$. But that follows immediately from a careful application of Theorems 5.7 and 6.2 in [Mun60] as in the proof of Theorem 6 in [Con63a].

More precisely, first choose a suitable triangulation of $\mathbb{R}^n$, and use Connell's Theorem 6 to approximate h by a PL homeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with

[3] Although this 'local version' of Theorems 3 and 4 in Connell's paper is not stated explicitly in [Rus73, Chapter 4.11], it can be deduced from the results of that chapter verbatim as in [Con63a].

$h = \mathrm{id}$ outside the ball $B^n_{1-2\delta}$, for $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small. Then apply Munkres' Theorem 5.7 with $U = B^n_{1-\delta}$ to get the final approximation by a diffeomorphism with the properties claimed in the statement of the proposition.[4] □

The rest of this section is occupied with the reduction of the general case to the open unit ball. In the following, the subscript 0 denotes the subgroup of homeomorphisms homotopic to the identity.

Lemma 3. *Every $h \in \mathrm{Homeo}^{\mathrm{vol}}_{0,c}(M)$ can be approximated uniformly by a diffeomorphism $g \in \mathrm{Diff}_c(M)$.*

Proof. We may without loss of generality assume the mass flow (see [Fat80][5] for the definition and a foundational study of this homomorphism) of h vanishes. To see this, recall that the flux homomorphism for volume-preserving diffeomorphisms (the definition and a foundational study can be found in [Ban97]) is Poincaré dual to the mass flow homomorphism (on the universal covering spaces), and that the flux homomorphism is surjective.[6] Thus we can find a diffeomorphism $f \in \mathrm{Diff}^{\mathrm{vol}}_{0,c}(M)$, smoothly isotopic to the identity, whose mass flow equals that of h. Clearly the lemma holds for h if and only if it holds for $h \circ f^{-1}$, and the mass flow of the latter vanishes.

Since h is in the kernel of the mass flow homomorphism, it can be fragmented as a finite composition $h = h_1 \cdots h_m$, with each h_i contained in the kernel of the mass flow, and supported in a ball $B^n_{(i)}$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, small enough so that Proposition 2 can be applied to each h_i [Fat80]. But then h can also be approximated uniformly by a diffeomorphism $g \in \mathrm{Diff}_c(M)$. □

Proof of Theorem 1. First suppose h is homotopic to a diffeomorphism g. Then $h \circ g^{-1}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 3, and the claim follows. Conversely, suppose h can be approximated uniformly by diffeomorphisms. Path components are open by local contractibility [Čer68], so if g is sufficiently close to h, the two are homotopic through a path of homeomorphisms. □

[4] Here is where the argument seems to break down in the case of homeomorphisms that are the identity only on the boundary, but not necessarily nearby. One needs some 'wiggle room' to combine the two theorems in order to obtain a diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^n$ that is the identity outside an appropriate neighborhood of a boundary point.

[5] The results in [Fat80] concern homeomorphisms which are the identity on the boundary, not necessarily nearby. However, their methods of proof allow to reprove all of their results we use in this paper in this slightly different situation. We mention in particular Lemma 3.4, Theorem 4.1, and Lemma 4.8 (local citations).

[6] In this case, it is possible to deduce the necessary theorems for homeomorphisms that equal the identity near the boundary directly from Fathi's results without having to reprove them, and by applying Poincaré duality for compact manifolds with nonempty boundary.

3. The inclusion Diff $\hookrightarrow$ Homeo

Consider the row of maps

$$\mathrm{Diff}_c^+(M) \xrightarrow{r} \mathrm{Diff}_c^{\mathrm{vol}}(M) \xhookrightarrow{i} \mathrm{Homeo}_c^{\mathrm{vol}}(M) \xhookrightarrow{i} \mathrm{Homeo}_c(M). \tag{2}$$

The first map is the deformation retraction [Ban97, Corollary 1.5.4] of the group of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms given by Moser's isotopy argument [Mos65]. (Thus a necessary and sufficient condition for a diffeomorphism to be isotopic to a volume-preserving diffeomorphism is to preserve orientation.) In particular, r is a homotopy equivalence (with homotopy inverse given by the inclusion map). The remaining maps i are the obvious inclusions. By a result due to Fathi and Y.-M. Visetti [Fat80], the last inclusion is a weak homotopy equivalence. Their argument is based on a theorem of S. Eilenberg and R. L. Wilder [EW42], which we now recall.

For a subset A of a metric separable space X, and $x \in X$, we say that A is *locally p-connected at x* (p-*LC* at x) if for every $\epsilon = \epsilon(x) > 0$ there exists a $\delta = \delta(x) > 0$ such that every continuous map $f\colon S^p \to X$ with image contained in $A \cap B_\delta(x)$ is nullhomotopic in $A \cap B_\epsilon(x)$. Here $B_r(x)$ denotes the ball of radius r centered at $x \in X$. A is said to be *locally p-connected in relation to X* (p-*LC* rel. X) if it is p-LC at every $x \in X$. Finally, if A is locally p-connected in relation to X for every $0 \le p \le k$, then we say A is LC^k *rel. X*. For example, if A is locally contractible, it is LC^k rel. X for every k. There are obvious notions of uniformly p-*LC* and uniformly LC^k as well.

Theorem 4 ([EW42, Theorem 3])**.** *Let Z be a compact space with* $\dim Z \le k$*, and suppose $A \subset X$ is LC^k rel. X. Then every two maps $Z \to X$ with image contained in A are homotopic in the closure of A (in X) if and only if they are homotopic in A.*

By Theorem 1, the image of $\mathrm{Diff}_{0,c}^{\mathrm{vol}}(M) \xhookrightarrow{i} \mathrm{Homeo}_{0,c}^{\mathrm{vol}}(M)$ is dense, and moreover, $\mathrm{Diff}_{0,c}^{\mathrm{vol}}(M)$ is locally contractible in the C^∞*-topology.*

Question 5. Is $\mathrm{Diff}_{0,c}^{\mathrm{vol}}(M)$ LC^k for say $k \le 1$ in the compact-open topology?

If the answer is yes, the same argument as in [Fat80], p 61, based on the Eilenberg-Wilder theorem, shows the middle inclusion as well is a weak homotopy equivalence.

Question 6. Which (volume-preserving) homeomorphisms are homotopic to (volume-preserving) diffeomorphisms?

4. Diffeomorphisms homotopic to the identity

We consider another basic problem in differential topology, namely the following: given a diffeomorphism $\phi \in \mathrm{Diff}(M)$ homotopic to the identity, i.e. there exists a continuous path in $\mathrm{Homeo}(M)$ connecting it to the identity, is ϕ smoothly isotopic to the identity, i.e. through a smooth path in $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$?

In [Rub98], D. Ruberman gives an example of a diffeomorphism on a 4-manifold which is homotopic to the identity but not smoothly isotopic to the identity, providing a counterexample to the aforementioned question. Like Donaldson's method in the paper [Don83] mentioned in the introduction (giving rise to homeomorphisms that cannot be approximated by diffeomorphisms) Ruberman's method is purely 4-dimensional.

Since the de Rham isomorphism between de Rham and singular cohomology is natural (e.g. [Lee03, Lemma 16.11]), ϕ acts trivially on de Rham cohomology, and in particular preserves the class of the volume form Ω. By Moser's isotopy method ([Mos65]), ϕ is smoothly isotopic to a volume-preserving diffeomorphism, so we may without loss of generality assume that ϕ is volume-preserving. We may further assume that the homotopy to the identity is through volume-preserving homeomorphisms, by the isomorphism induced on homotopy groups by the last map in (2) in the previous section.

Question 7. In the situation considered above, does there exist a continuous path to the identity in $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ with the C^0-topology? Can that path be approximated by or homotoped to a smooth path in $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ with the C^∞-topology to give the desired smooth isotopy to the identity? If yes, does this (combined with Ruberman's example) give rise to a volume-preserving homeomorphism on a 4-manifold that cannot be approximated uniformly by diffeomorphisms?

5. The noncompact and nonorientable cases

In this section we suppose that M is noncompact, and equip all groups of homeomorphisms with the usual direct limit topology.[7] Here the "volume form" Ω is finite on each compact subset and thus induces a Radon measure as on page 85 in [Fat80]. If M has nonempty boundary, we again ask that all homeomorphisms are the identity near the boundary. Then Theorem 1 extends to noncompact manifolds. Indeed, suppose that h is homotopic to a diffeomorphism g. As a consequence of the definition of the direct limit topology, there exists a compact set $K \subset \mathrm{Int}(M)$ such that both h and g are compactly supported in K, and homotopic through a homotopy that is compactly supported in K. Then the compact case above applies. To see the necessity of the above condition, note that for $\epsilon\colon M \to (0,\infty)$ a continuous function, the uniform ϵ-ball around h is open in the direct-limit topology. Again by [Čer68], if g is sufficiently close to h in the direct-limit topology, it is homotopic to h.

Also remark that, by our reduction scheme, the result by Oh or Sikorav on approximability by volume-preserving diffeomorphisms is only needed

[7] Some care has to be taken here in the choice of allowable compact codimension 0 submanifolds $K \subset \mathrm{Int}(M)$ in the definition of the direct-limit topology, firstly to guarantee that a diffeomorphism of K extends to all of M by the identity, and for (the current versions of) some of the results by Fathi and Visetti. But this is only a technicality.

locally, i.e. in Proposition 2. If $h = \mathrm{id}$ near the boundary, their approximation yields volume-preserving diffeomorphisms equal to the identity near the boundary.

Finally we point out that the above generalizes to nonorientable manifolds equipped with a good measure, which is locally given by a volume-density instead of a volume form.

References

[Ale32] J. W. Alexander, *Some Problems in Topology*, Verh. des Int. Math. Kong. Zürich **1** (1932), 249–257.

[Ban97] A. Banyaga, *The Structure of Classical Diffeomorphism Groups*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht / Boston / London, 1997.

[Bin63] R. H. Bing, *Stable Homeomorphisms on E^n can be approximated by piecewise linear ones*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1963).

[Čer68] A. V. Černavskiĭ, *Local contractibility of the group of homeomorphisms of a manifold*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **182** (1968), 510–513.

[Con63a] E. H. Connell, *Approximating stable homeomorphisms by piecewise linear ones*, Ann. of Math. **78** (1963), no. 2, 326–338.

[Con63b] ———, *Stable homeomorphisms can be approximated by piecewise linear ones*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1963), 87–90.

[Don83] S. K. Donaldson, *An Application of Gauge Theory to four-dimensional Topology*, J. Differential Geom. **18** (1983), no. 2, 279–315.

[EW42] S. Eilenberg and R. L. Wilder, *Uniform Local Connectedness and Contractibility*, American Journal of Mathematics **64** (1942), no. 1, 613–622.

[Fat80] A. Fathi, *Structure of the Group of Homeomorphisms preserving a good Measure on a compact Manifold*, Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup. **13** (1980), no. 1, 45–93.

[Hir63] M. W. Hirsch, *Obstruction Theories for smoothing Manifolds and Maps*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1963), 352–356.

[Hir76] ———, *Differential Topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, no. 33, Springer Verlag, New York–Heidelberg, 1976, corrected reprint (1994).

[Lee03] J. M. Lee, *Introduction to smooth manifolds*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, no. 218, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.

[Mos65] J. Moser, *On the volume elements on a manifold*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1965), 286–294.

[Mül08a] S. Müller, *The Group of Hamiltonian Homeomorphisms in the L^∞-norm*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **45** (2008), no. 6, 1769–1784.

[Mül08b] ———, *The Group of Hamiltonian Homeomorphsism and C^0-symplectic Topology*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, 2008.

[Mun59] J. R. Munkres, *Obstructions to the Smoothing of piecewise-differentiable Homeomorphisms*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **65** (1959), 332–334.

[Mun60] ———, *Obstructions to the Smoothing of piecewise-differentiable Homeomorphisms*, Ann. Math. **72** (1960), no. 3, 521–554.

[Mun65] ———, *Higher Obstructions to Smoothing*, Topology **4** (1965), 27–45.

[Oh06] Y.-G. Oh, *C^0-coerciveness of Moser's Problem and smoothing area-preserving Homeomorphisms*, available on arXiv:math/0601183v5, 2006.

[OM07] Y.-G. Oh and S. Müller, *The Group of Hamiltonian Homeomorphisms and C^0-symplectic Topology*, J. Symplectic Geom. **5** (2007), no. 2, 167–219.

[OU41] J. C. Oxtoby and S. M. Ulam, *Measure-Preserving Homeomorphisms and Metrical Transivity*, Ann. of Math. **42** (1941), no. 4, 874–920.

[Rub98] D. Ruberman, *An obstruction to smooth isotopy in dimension* 4, Math. Res. Lett. **5** (1998), no. 6, 743–758.

[Rus73] T. B. Rushing, *Topological Embeddings*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 52, Academic Press, New York–London, 1973.

[Sik07] J.-C. Sikorav, *Approximation of a volume-preserving Homeomorphism by a volume-preserving Diffeomorphism*, http://www.umpa.ens-lyon.fr/ symplexe, September 2007.

[Whi69] H. E. Jr. White, *The Approximation of one-one measurable Transformations by Diffeomorphisms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **141** (1969), 305–322.

[Whi74] ———, *The Approximation of one-one measurable Transformations by measure preserving Homeomorphisms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **44** (1974), 391–394.

E-mail address: mueller@kias.re.kr

Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Hoegiro 87 (207–43 Cheongnyangni 2–dong), Dongdaemun–gu, Seoul 130–722, Rep. of Korea